

## EXHIBIT B

**From:** [Padmanabhan, Krishnan](#)  
**To:** [Young, Cassidy T.](#)  
**Cc:** [EntropicKLG](#); [Ferguson, Brian E.](#); [Leiden, Diana](#); [Raghavan, Saranya](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Entropic v. Comcast - Meet and Confer  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 1:33:48 PM  
**Attachments:** [~WRD0388.jpg](#)  
[image001.jpg](#)  
[image002.png](#)

---

Cassidy –

Thank you for your email. We are available to meet and confer on Friday early afternoon (1:30 PST).

Entropic's meet and confer request does not comply with L.R. 7-3 ("The conference must take place at least 7 days prior to the filing of the motion."). Comcast reserves all rights, including to oppose Entropic's motion based on the failure to comply with L.R. 7-3.

Entropic's proposed motion for reconsideration also does not comply with L.R. 7-18, which states that "[n]o motion for reconsideration may in any manner repeat any oral or written argument made in support of, or in opposition to, the original motion." Entropic proposes a motion based on the supplemental briefing it already provided, and the oral arguments that Entropic presented on August 9th. In addition, Entropic's proposed motion does not fall under L.R. 7-18(a), 7-18(b), or 7-18(c), and therefore does not present any basis for reconsideration under the Local Rules.

Thanks,  
-KP

**Krishnan Padmanabhan**

**Partner**

Winston & Strawn LLP

D: +1 212-294-3564

[winston.com](http://winston.com)



---

**From:** Young, Cassidy T. <Cassidy.Young@klgates.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 6:26 PM  
**To:** Padmanabhan, Krishnan <KPadmanabhan@winston.com>; Ferguson, Brian E. <BEFerguson@winston.com>; Leiden, Diana <DHLeiden@winston.com>; Raghavan, Saranya <SRaghavan@winston.com>  
**Cc:** EntropicKLG <EntropicKLG@klgates.com>  
**Subject:** Entropic v. Comcast - Meet and Confer

KP,

Entropic intends to file a motion for reconsideration related to the Court's Order on Comcast's motion to dismiss dated November 20, 2023 in both the 1048 and 1050. We are seeking

reconsideration on the following grounds: We made arguments, cited cases, and submitted supplemental briefing on the subject matter jurisdiction issue that do not appear to have been considered or addressed in the orders. We think it is in both parties' interest to have the court weigh in on those issues for purposes of a full record, in the event of any appeal.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-18, we plan to file the motion on Monday. Please let us know when you are available to meet and confer before the end of the week.

Thank you,  
Cassidy



**Cassidy Young**  
Associate  
K&L Gates LLP  
10100 Santa Monica Blvd, 8th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Phone: (310) 552-5058  
[cassidy.young@klgates.com](mailto:cassidy.young@klgates.com)  
[www.klgates.com](http://www.klgates.com)

This electronic message contains information from the law firm of K&L Gates LLP. The contents may be privileged and confidential and are intended for the use of the intended addressee(s) only. If you are not an intended addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact me at [Cassidy.Young@klgates.com](mailto:Cassidy.Young@klgates.com).